

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE**

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1639 OF 2007

Ashrafbano Mohidin Bekal. ... Applicant.

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra. ... Respondent.

V.V.Purwant for the applicant.

S.S.Pednekar, APP for the State.

CORAM: V.C.DAGA, J.

DATED: 9th July 2007.

P.C.:

. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The applicant is seeking bail in connection
with C.R.No.I-421/2006 registered under sections 302,
342, 201 read with section 34 of Indian Penal Code
with Mumbra Police Station. The accused No.1, who is
husband of deceased, is already granted transit bail
by this Court vide order dated 30th April, 2007 passed
in Criminal Application No.1289/2007 on, prima facie;
finding that there was no direct evidence against
accused No.1. Though the incident has taken place in
the house of the applicant, since there is no eye
witness to implicate the presence of the

applicant-accused, the applicant would be entitled to bail on parity. There is no direct evidence against the applicant. Looking to the facts and circumstances of the case, I am inclined to grant bail to the applicant, subject to the following conditions:

(a) The applicant, arrested in connection with C.R.No.I-421/2006 registered with the Mumbra Police Station, Thane shall be enlarged on bail in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one or two local sureties to make up the said amount.

(b) The applicant shall report to the Mumbra Police Station once in a week, i.e. on every Monday between 3.00 to 5.00 p.m. till conclusion of the trial.

(c) The applicant shall co-operate with the investigating agency for disposal of the case. It is needless to mention that breach of any of the conditions by the applicant shall result in cancellation of bail.

3. Application stands disposed of accordingly.

JUDGE